

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/757,758	LUTZER, WILHELM
	Examiner James M Hewitt	Art Unit 3679

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) James M Hewitt. (3) _____.

(2) Walter Fasse. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 5-7, 9, 11 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Aschoff et al (US 6,315,332) and Godel (US 5,506,376)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner indicated to Mr. Fasse that claims 5-7, 9 and 12 were allowable over the prior art of record, and particularly over the closest prior art, Aschoff et al and Godel. Mr. Fasse informed the Examiner that he would call back with a response

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)